**Strensall with Towthorpe Parish Council**

**The Village Hall, Northfields, Strensall, YORK, YO32 5XN.**

**Tel: 01904 491569**

**Email:** **clerk-strensallpc@btconnect.com**

Chairman Councillor A Fisher

**Minutes** of the meeting of **The Parish Council Planning Committee** held on Tuesday 09th October 2018 at 6.30pm in the Village Hall, Strensall, York

**Present:** Cllrs Bolton, Chambers, Mrs Cox, Fisher, Mrs Hails, Maher, Mattinson and Mrs Smith

1. **Apologies:**  Cllr Chapman

2. **Declarations of Interest:** None

3. **The Minutes** of 25thSeptember 2018 have been circulated and were approved as written. **Resolution P091018/01**.

4. **Ongoing Issues:**  None

5. **Planning applications**:

(a) **18/02089/FUL** – Erection of 2.5 metre boundary fence @ 75 Northfields - **The Parish Council has No Objections**

(b) **18/02145/FUL** – Erection of 2 no. bungalows (resubmission on land fronting 18 Oak Tree Way –

 **The Parish Council Strongly Objects** for the reasons as follows:

* Application Form Box 3 stating whether work has started has been ticked, but there is no evidence on site of any work having taken place. The applicant states that this is an approved site from the 1960s, but there is no documentary evidence with the application. If this was the case, there would be no need for a further planning application.
* Application Form Box 14 claims that the site is “approved awaiting development” but there is no evidence of this.
* Application Form Box 15 the applicant has ticked no against both questions. The briefest of site visits would reveal that there are a significant number of trees close to the site, including TPO 189/A/33. A tree survey would appear to be required.
* On the public copy of the Design and Access Statement there is no identification of who wrote the statement and what, if any, qualifications are held to give substance to the statement. The last sentence concerning flooding is ambiguous and appears to be at odds with the application form.
* The Parish Council supports the objections made by residents

In addition, the reasons given in February 2018 (17/02962/FUL) still apply:

* There is a lack of design quality and good amenity space, as this is completely out of keeping with the surrounding area which is contrary to the N.P.P.F 17.4 56 and 64
* In section 15 of the application the applicant has ticked no to both questions. It is obvious that there are a large number of trees on land adjacent to the development site 48, 50, 52 Moor Lane. 18 Oak Tree Way has trees protected by TPO 189/A/33. A Tree Survey is required. BS 5837:2005 s6.3 proximity of trees to structures is relevant
* The Parish Council supports the objections made by the E.A., the F.I.D.B. and residents.

(c) **18/02188/FUL** – Single storey side and rear extension @ 8 The Sidings – **The Parish Council has No Objections**

6. **Planning Decisions** – None

7 **NEXT MEETING** will be on Tuesday 23th October 2018 at 6.30pm

There being no other business the meeting was closed at 7.15pm

Signed ……………………………………. Chairman Dated 23rd October 2018